FILED
U.S. DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS MARSHALL DIVISION

DEC % 0 2005 DAVID J. MALAND, CLERK

PAICE, LLC,

S
S
Plaintiff,

S
CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:04-CV-211 (DF)

S
TOYOTA MOTOR CORP., ET. AL.,

Defendants.

S
Defendants.

VERDICT FORM

You, the jury are to answer the following questions based on the evidence admitted at trial and according to the Instructions the Court has given you. Start with Question No. 1 and proceed through the questions following the directions included in this Verdict Form.

Question No. 1:

Has Paice proven by a preponderance of the evidence that the 2004 and later model year Toyota

Prius infringes any of following claims of the patents in suit?

Answer "Yes" or "No" for each claim in each patent listed below.

'970 Patent		Literal Infringement	Infringement under the doctrine of equivalents
770 I atent			
Claim 7	Answer:	NO	NO
Claim 8	Answer:		NO
Claim 11	Answer:	NO	<u> 4es</u>
Claim 39	Answer:	NO	yes

If, and only if, you find infringement under the doctrine of equivalents for a particular claim, list which element or elements of the 2004 and later model year Toyota Prius you find equivalent and the corresponding limitation or limitations of the claim. Use additional space if necessary.

Claim No.	Element(s)	Claim Limitation(s)
	Dianet Gear Assm.	Controlable torque transfer
39	Planet. Gear Asm.	Controlable tokque transfer

Case 2:04-cv-00211-DF Document 199 Filed 12/20/05 Page 3 of 11

		Literal Infringement	Infringement under the doctrine of equivalents
'672 Patent			
Claim 15	Answer:	NO	NO_
Claim 16	Answer:	No_	<u>No</u> _
which element or el	ements of the 2	nt under the doctrine of equivalents for the doctrine of equivalents of the claim. Use additional specific Element(s)	s you find equivalent and

		Literal Infringement	Infringement under the doctrine of equivalents	
'088 Patent			1	
Claim 1	Answer:	<u>No</u>	N_0	
Claim 2	Answer:	MO	<u>wo</u>	
Claim 3	Answer:	No	ND	
If, and only if, you find infringement under the doctrine of equivalents for a particular claim, list which element or elements of the 2004 and later model year Toyota Prius you find equivalent and the corresponding limitation or limitations of the claim. Use additional space if necessary.				
	Claim No.	Element(s)	Claim Limitation(s)	
		<u> </u>		

Question No. 2:

Has Paice proven by a preponderance of the evidence that the Toyota Highlander/Lexus RX400H infringes any of following claims of the patents in suit?

Answer "Yes" or "No" for each claim of each patent listed below.

'970 Patent		Literal Infringement	Infringement under the doctrine of equivalents
970 Patent			
Claim 7	Answer:	<u>NO</u>	NO_
Claim 8	Answer:		M)0_
Claim 11	Answer:	W0	13PS
Claim 39	Answer:	NO_	405

If, and only if, you find infringement under the doctrine of equivalents for a particular claim, list which element or elements of the Toyota Highlander/Lexus RX400H you find equivalent and the corresponding limitation or limitations of the claim. Use additional space if necessary.

Claim No.	Element(s)	Claim Limitation(s)
	Planetary Gear Mem.	Controlable torque transfer
39	Planetary Gear Assm	Controlable torque transfer

		Literal Infringement	Infringement under the doctrine of equivalents
'672 Patent			
Claim 15	Answer:	<u>NO</u>	NO
Claim 16	Answer:	NO_	0CM
Claim 26	Answer:	NO	NO
which element or element	ents of the Toyota l	or the doctrine of equivalents for the doctrine of equivalents for the doctrine of equivalents for the claim. Use additional space	ou find equivalent and the
(Claim No.	Element(s)	Claim Limitation(s)
-			
-			

'088 Patent		Literal Infringement	Infringement under the doctrine of equivalents
Claim 1	Answer:	No	NO
If, and only if, you find infringement under the doctrine of equivalents for a particular claim, list which element or elements of the Toyota Highlander/Lexus RX400H you find equivalent and the corresponding limitation or limitations of the claim. Use additional space if necessary.			
(Claim No.	Element(s)	Claim Limitation(s)

Case 2:04-cv-00211-DF Document 199 Filed 12/20/05 Page 8 of 11

Question No. 3:

Has Paice proven by clear and convincing evidence that Toyota's infringement, if any, of the claims of any of the patents in suit was willful?

Answer "Yes" or "No" for each patent listed below.

'970 Patent

Answer:

<u>NO</u>

'672 Patent

Answer:

NO

'088 Patent

Answer:

NO

Case 2:04-cv-00211-DF Document 199 Filed 12/20/05 Page 9 of 11

Question No. 4:

Has Toyota proven by clear and convincing evidence that any of the claims of the patents in suit are invalid by anticipation?

Answer "Yes" or "No" for each claim listed below:

'672 Patent

Claim 15

Answer:

<u>N0</u>

Claim 16

Answer:

NO

Claim 26

Answer:

NO

'088 Patent

Claim 1

Answer:

<u>N0</u>

Claim 2

Answer:

W) D

Claim 3

Answer:

ND

Case 2:04-cv-00211-DF Document 199 Filed 12/20/05 Page 10 of 11

Question No. 5:

Has Toyota proven by clear and convincing evidence that any of the claims of the patents in suit are invalid for obviousness?

Answer "Yes" or "No" for each claim listed below:

'970 Patent

Claim 7

Answer:

NO

Claim 8

Answer:

<u>NO</u>

Claim 11

Answer:

NO

Claim 39

Answer:

NO

'672 Patent

Claim 15

Answer:

NO

Claim 16

Answer:

170

Claim 26

Answer:

NO

'088 Patent

Claim 1

Answer:

M) 0

Claim 2

Answer:

NO

Claim 3

Answer:

M) 0

Question No. 6:

If you have found that any of the accused vehicles infringes any claim of the patents in suit, and the claim is valid, what is the reasonable royalty, if any, that would fairly and adequately compensate Paice for infringement?

Prius

3,348, 475. 00

Highlander and RX 400H

\$ 921, 475. 00

12/20/2005

The foreperson must sign and date this verdict form:

FOREPERSON

Le E Wallangh,